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Introduction and Background

- Historical aspects
- Global phenomenon
- Recent recognition, increasing concern
- Contextual matters
  - Community care and professionals
  - Demographic changes
  - Medical and technological advances
  - Advocacy and Rights agenda
General Points

- Definitional issues
- Lack of awareness of abuse
- Detection and identification problems
- Private issue or public concern?
- Adult protection or elder abuse?
- Risk, vulnerability and protection
- Education and training
The Importance of Naming

- Silence about the topic
- Comparative lack of recognition
- Problems with terminology
- Naming is essential: what is named....
- Primacy of the individual and personal
- Social construction of abuse and violence
- Importance of professional identification
The Need for Differentiation

- Different types of abuse
- Different settings and locations
- Different participants
- Different witnesses
- Different responses and interventions
Critical Factors

- Social and cultural contexts
- Gender and power relations
- Not just family and interpersonal relationships
- Personal, cultural and structural levels
- Micro, meso and macro levels
- Ageism as a master category
Issues in Responding to Elder Abuse

- An Aging issue?
- A complication of caregiving?
- Family Violence perspective
  - Prevention, protection, punishment
- Apparent tensions:
  - Service & welfare orientation
  - Justice & criminalisation orientation
Issues relating to Vulnerability

- Person is not vulnerable
- Situation or circumstances may render the person vulnerable to abuse (Penhale and Parker, 2008)
- Scottish approach: Adults at Risk
- Risk assessment, risk management
- Risk enablement
Protection and prevention

- Concept of protection
  - Preventive
  - Reactive

- Protective actions
  - Rules and laws
  - Policies and procedures
  - Action to protect
  - Risk reduction
Protection and prevention

- Issues of vulnerability
  - Self
  - Others

- Levels of Prevention
  - primary prevention
  - secondary prevention
  - tertiary prevention
Types of Intervention

- Practical
- Legal
- Therapeutic
- Focus on protection and safety
- Focus on autonomy and empowerment
- Victim orientation
- Abuser orientation
Principles of Assessment

- Assessment of need
  - Holistic but abuse-focused
  - Assessment or investigation?

- Care plan
  - Protection or safety planning

- Risk assessment, management and enablement

- Protective responsibility
International Network for the Prevention of Elder Abuse

- Established at IAG World Congress, 1997
- Mission Statement and Objectives, 1998
- Focus on
  - increasing awareness of abuse and neglect
  - promoting education and training
  - advocacy
  - research
- NGO Status, 2003
- Website: http://www.inpea.net
INPEA and Research

- Partnership with:
  - WHO Ageing and Life Course
  - HelpAge International
  - academic institutions

- Study of older people in community and primary health care settings in:
  - Brazil
  - India
  - Kenya
  - Argentina
  - Lebanon
  - Canada
  - Austria
  - Sweden
INPEA and Education

- National and international representation
- Contributions to WHO and UN reports
- Input into educational materials (eg PAHO)
- Dissemination, research and advocacy for elder abuse issues
- Political advisory roles
INPEA at International levels

- Representatives
  - 75+ National Representatives
  - Board Members: 2 per region
  - Special Observers and Advisers

- Affiliates
  - Action on Elder Abuse, UK
  - Australian Network for the Prevention of Elder Abuse
  - Korean Network for the Prevention of Elder Abuse

- Official funding
Elder Abuse: Overall context

- A Public Health and Human Rights Issue
- Need to promote positive attitudes of ageing
- Older people perceive elder abuse as:
  - Neglect: isolation, abandonment, social exclusion
  - Violation of human, legal, medical rights
  - Deprivation of choice, decisions, status, finances...
  - Identified discrimination on basis of age
- Key factors:
  - gender
  - socio-economic status
European perspectives

- Cultural and economically diverse region
- Differing meanings and understandings
- 30 year time span
- Legislation and policies
- Intervention strategies
- Research and publications
European Responses

In EEA

- France and Italy: Helplines and NGOs
- Germany: model projects and helplines
- Belgium: intervention centres, helpline
- Holland: Awareness raising campaign
- Ireland: National plan, caseworkers, NCPOP
- Norway: National Centre, special units
- Spain: professional guidance, assessment tools
- England and Wales: policies and procedures
- Czech Republic: Helpline
European Responses

- In EEA
  - Finland: NGO development and guidance
  - Poland: integration with other systems
  - Israel: legislation, special units, research
  - Portugal: Policy development, NGOs
  - FYR Macedonia: Recent survey
  - Scotland: Legislation, research
  - Sweden: Research, guidance development

- ‘New’ countries: early work
- Future: research, education, prevention
Recent Research

- Prevalence studies
  - Israel
  - Spain
  - UK
  - Germany
  - Poland
  - ABUEL (EU-funded)
  - AVOW (EU-funded)
UK Research on Institutional Abuse

- UK Prevalence Study 2007
  - Community settings only
  - Did not include most frail
  - Acknowledged as under-estimate

- Advisory group recommendation
  - Institutional settings need covering

- Discussions: Department of Health and charity Comic Relief, funding obtained
PANICOA Programme

- Decision to conduct a Research Programme
  - Different aspects of institutional care
  - Care homes and NHS settings
  - Does include most frail
- Preventing Abuse and Neglect in Institutional Care of Older Adults
- 9 research studies initially covered, 2 added later
- Commissioning process 2008-2010
- Timeframe for Programme: 2008 – 2012
PANICOA Programme

- Range of studies
  - Dementia, knowledge and training
  - BME experiences, Organisational factors
  - NHS settings: Dignity in Care….
- Scientific Advisor role and overview report
- Advisory group for Programme
- Newsletter, website: www.panicoa.org.uk
- Findings brochures in production
- Dissemination activities
Recent North American Research

- Elder Financial Abuse
- Prevalence study: New York State
- Resident to Resident Abuse
- NCALL Violence initiative
- Canadian Research Chair (Sherbrooke)
- Canadian Preparatory Study
WHO European Report

- Considered evidence for risk factors and interventions
- Prevention requires evidence-base
  - Understand types of intervention
  - Understand effects (+ and -)
  - Understand costs
- Two main approaches: aim to reduce or address elder abuse
- Aim to improve related factors
Universal approaches target:
- general population
- whole group approach (e.g., HCPs)

Selective approaches target those at risk

Indicated approaches focus on specific target

Organisational approaches target:
- Improvement in professional practice
Multi-component approaches

Included wider coverage:
- Caregiver stress and lack of social support
- Ageism

Did not consider more general violence prevention
- Developing safe relationships
- Developing life and social skills
High quality evaluation studies lacking

- Mixed findings for:
  - Professional awareness and education courses
  - Legal, psychological and educational support programmes
  - Restraint reduction programmes

- More research needed to clarify findings
WHO European Report

- Some positive evidence for psychological programmes for abusers
- Further high quality evaluations needed to better understand effects
- Promising evidence for programmes to:
  - Improve caregiver mental health
  - Change attitudes towards older people
- Potential effects on elder abuse not clear
Programmes should include clear evaluative framework, including:

- Outcomes
- Longer-term follow-up
- Cost-effectiveness

More research needed to clarify increases in abuse following some interventions

Further research needed on costs of implementing elder abuse interventions
Recent Developments

- World Elder Abuse Awareness Day
- European level initiatives:
  - Research: DAPHNE III Programme
  - Prevalence studies, specific projects
  - Policy level: MILCEA, EUSTaCEA
  - WHO European region
- WHO Violence and Injury Prevention
Future Research possibilities

- Nature of link between dementia and abuse
- Is abuse long-standing or a new response and relation to mental health problems
- Vulnerability, risk and dependency
- Protective factors
- Abuse of different vulnerable groups
- Institutional abuse
- Outcomes: include impact on individual
- Economic issues
Future Research possibilities

- Intervention and prevention studies
  - Model projects and evaluation studies
- Research on impact and effectiveness:
  - Of mistreatment
  - Of interventions
- Specialist teams and practitioners?
- Individualised approaches?
- International collaborative approach?
- Research and development
The Way Forward?

- Improve recognition and awareness of abuse
- Develop standards and systems of accountability
- Develop strategies to prevent and intervene
- Promote education and training
- Improve knowledge and understanding
- International collaboration to prevent abuse
Finally…

- Thanks for being here today
- Thanks for listening
- Thanks for your commitment to this issue
- Together we combine to find solutions to these most complex problems…..
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Website references

- [http://www.ssiacymru.org.uk](http://www.ssiacymru.org.uk) (look at pages on safeguarding and adult protection)
- [http://www.scotland.gov.uk](http://www.scotland.gov.uk) (select Health and social care and search for Adult Protection)
- [http://www.panicoa.org.uk](http://www.panicoa.org.uk) (PANICOA research programme site)
- [http://www.inpea.net](http://www.inpea.net) (information about International Network)